

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,756	07/13/2005	Leandre Adifon	60469-193; OT-5003	5071	
	7590 · 04/18/2007 COR COMPANY		EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			PICO, ERIC E		
10 FARM SPR FARMINGTO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	.,, 0.: 0000_	÷ ·	3654		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)
ADIFON ET AL.
Art Unit
3654

	EIICFICO	3034	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notic ring replies: (1) an amendmen ice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the m	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ITWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding am hortened statutory period for reply	ount of the fee. The approprion originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see		pecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finall	y rejected claims.	
	21 See attached Natice of No.	n Compliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		n-Compilant Amenument	(FTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		esta timali filad amaadm	ant consoling the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:] will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			·
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ippeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	,\$//	
13. Other:		- //	
	વા	PATRICK MACKEY	XAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that Toshiyuki does not anticipate any of Applicant's claims is not persuasive.